

## EARLS COLNE PARISH COUNCIL

**Minutes of a Planning Meeting of Earls Colne Parish Council held in the Council Chamber on Wednesday 3 February 2010.**

**Present: Mrs M. Barrett, Mr J. Bendall (Chairman), Mr R. Curtis, Mrs R. Hall, Mr C Hazell,, Mr K. Shipp, Mr M. Tracey, and Mr F. Williams. The Acting Clerk was unable to attend and had sent in her apologies.**

### **1. Apologies for absence**

Apologies for absence were received from Mr Richard van Dulken, Mrs Dawn Howorth and Mr Ian Sparks.

### **2. Declarations of interest**

No declarations of interest were made.

### **3. Public Participation session**

Mr Nigel Chapman, representing Mr and Mrs Hanford, spoke regarding the Planning Application below and answered Members questions.

### **4. Council considered the following planning applications:**

<b>Application No:</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Location</b>
10/00051/FUL	Erection of detached dwelling with single detached garage and access through existing wall	Land adjoining 32 York Road
10/00011/TPO	Crown lift and reduce 2 lime trees, remove basal suckers	19A Coggeshall Road, Earls Colne, C06 2JP
	Possible future development	West Tey and Temple Border

#### **Application no 10/00051/FUL : land adjoining 32 York Road**

Members had “no comments” to make on this [planning application except that they wished to request that the recommendations made in the Arboricultural Impact Assessment were made a condition of the granting of permission. The Acting Clerk to be instructed to inform BDC accordingly.

#### **Application 10/00011/TPO : Notice of intent to carry out works to trees protected by Tree Preservation Order 19/00 : Crown lift and crown reduce 2 lime trees, remove basal suckers. 19 Coggeshall Road, Earls Colne, C06 2JP**

Members had concerns that the proposed works to the 2 lime trees would have a detrimental effect on the overall appearance of the remaining and adjacent trees. They requested that the

**EARLS COLNE PARISH COUNCIL**

permitted works should be sympathetic to this view. The Acting Clerk was instructed to inform the appropriate authority.

**5. Council discussed implications of the attached e-mail regarding a possible future Development at West Tey and Temple Border.**

Following discussion, Members wished to reserve judgement on these outline proposals for the new communities at West Tey and Braintree until such time as more detailed plans were made available.

In respect of the Highways Issues contained within the proposals, Members stated that a grade separated interchange must be provided at the A120/B1024 Junction and that the A120 improvements should provide for a dual carriageway throughout and must be designed to a suitable high standard in line with the section between the M11 and Braintree.

There being no further business, the meeting was then closed.

Date .....

.....  
CHAIRMAN

\*\*\*\*\*